

# Which Companies Are Owned By, And Participate In, Synchronized Political Mood Manipulation and Public Spying

The following companies work together to data harvest your private information, manipulate elections for their candidates, put subliminal messages in front of you to try to trick you into voting certain ways, evade taxes, sell your activity information to Hillary Clinton, and spend billions of dollars bribing politicians to try to change laws that give them exclusive favors:

Google  
Facebook  
Paypal  
Twitter  
Ebay  
Netflix  
Microsoft  
Amazon  
LinkedIn  
OkCupid  
Match.com

## **Your Daily Privacy Theft!**

- Who Hacks You?
- "Data-Rape": Bulk harvesting your personal data property!
- **60 Minutes blows "data-rape" & "privacy-rape" wide open!**
- The Data brokers: Easily stealing & selling your sexual, mental, medical and life data every day. See the video below:

**See the entire 60 Minutes story here:**

<http://www.cbsnews.com/videos/the-data-brokers-selling-your-personal-information>

**You own your personal marketing data** like you own your house. Companies can't rent your house without your permission. It is not legal for them to "*look like*" they are asking for your permission, if they do so in a manner meant to confuse you.

**You own your personal private use data** like you own your own hair. Nobody can come and cut off your hair while you are sleeping and sell it for wigs. It is not legal for them to "*look like*" they are asking for your permission, if they do so in a manner meant to confuse you.



"Data-rape" should not be ok with you. You get pulled into the big party known as "the internet" like a [Frat House rumble](#) because "everybody is doing it". You are slipped some movies and MP3's and [fake dating](#) profiles to get you "loosened up". Then, when your defenses are down, in this unfamiliar place called the internet, your most private thing is taken from you: **Your privacy itself.**

A precedent exists for the compensation of individuals for the selling of any part of their body (Blood banks, semen banks, kidney exchanges, etc.) , time (W2 Employment), expertise (1099 Consulting), brand (Celebrity endorsement), persona (Online **Vlog** subscriber promotions) and a host of other past examples proving the value precedent of personal humanity.

The concept of ownership of your private data is the basis of multiple class-action lawsuits in development against privacy-violation and data exploitation efforts akin to the home mortgage issues. Disclosures that are hidden or written in language that is incomprehensible to the average person, don't count! In tests: 20, unexpectedly picked, people are asked to read the website disclosures for a dot.com company in the few seconds they are allowed to read it (the average time that web metrics says the average user is exposed to the disclosure) and scroll through the massive amount of legalese and comprehend it. Not one of them could answer 10 follow-up questions about what it said. Try it yourself with 20 friends. This sort of test will be a key evidence point in the trials.

Why did the privacy heads at top big internet companies like Google and Twitter quit just before the Snowden disclosures?

Companies like Facebook and Google make billions of dollars, almost entirely, off of the selling of your personal information, why shouldn't you share in the money they make off of you without your fully informed consent? Send Twitter a bill for using you, if they don't pay you, you have a legal right to collect. At least one, of the many cases underway, will prevail. Then, every time you use anything on Google, you get a check, from Google, for that part of yourself that was used by them.

Interesting concept



## Facebook Sued Over Alleged Scanning of Private Messages

[Facebook Inc. \(FB\)](#) was sued over allegations it systematically intercepts its users private messages on the social network and profits by sharing the data with advertisers and marketers. When users compose messages that include links to a third-party website, Facebook scans the content of the message, follows the link and searches for information to profile the message-sender's Web activity, violating the Electronic Communications Privacy Act and [California](#) privacy and unfair competition laws, according to the suit.

The practice compromises privacy and undermines Facebook's promise of "unprecedented" security options for its messaging function, two Facebook users said in the complaint filed in federal court in [San Jose](#), California.

Lawsuits against Internet companies and social networks are multiplying as use of the Web balloons and users become more aware of how much personal information they're revealing, often without their knowledge. [Google Inc. \(GOOG\)](#), Yahoo! Inc. and [LinkedIn Corp. \(LNKD\)](#) also are facing accusations of intercepting communications for their profit at the expense of users or non-users.

### 'Invasive Scanning'

The scanning "is a mechanism for Facebook to surreptitiously gather data in an effort to improve its marketing algorithms and increase its ability to profit from data about Facebook users," Michael Sobol, an attorney for the plaintiffs, wrote in the Dec. 30 complaint.



When users compose messages that include links to a third- party website, Facebook... [Read More](#)

Jackie Rooney, a spokeswoman for Facebook, said the company regards the allegations as "without merit."

The plaintiffs are seeking a court order certifying the case as a group, or class action, lawsuit on behalf of all Facebook users who have sent or received a private message in the past two years that included a Web links. They are also asking to bar Facebook from continuing to intercept messages and seek as much as \$10,000 in damages for each user.

The case is *Matthew Campbell v. Facebook Inc.*, 13-5996, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California (San Jose).

To contact the reporter on this story: Karen Gullo in federal court in [San Francisco](#) at [kgullo@bloomberg.net](mailto:kgullo@bloomberg.net)

To contact the editor responsible for this story: Michael Hytha at [mhytha@bloomberg.net](mailto:mhytha@bloomberg.net)

---

**Reporters- For follow-up information on privacy data lawsuits:**

Chris Hansen, Senior National Staff Counsel- ACLU- 212-549-2500

Eric Gibbs, Founding Partner- Girard Gibbs LLP - 1-866-981-4800

Elizabeth Fegan- Partner- Hagens Berman- 1-206-623-7292

Ryan D. Andrews- Edelson, LLC- (312) 589-6370

Seanna R. Brown- Baker Hostetler - 212-589-4230

More coming...

---

**The Internet Privacy Warrant Association Campaign**



The IPWA is an independent association of writers, publishers, reporters, citizens and families. Signatories are allowed to post the trust, icon, above, on their websites. Signatories must link the icon, above, to an exclusively dedicated page on their website with a color scan of the original CEO-signed warrant ([in blue text, below](#)) on their letterhead. **Disclosure:** IPWA is not a front organization for a billionaire. IPWA does not accept funds. IPWA is just people with families. IPWA does not support any candidate.

**HERE IS THE BEST AND EASIEST PART FOR THESE BIG COMPANIES: Just do it!** You don't need to send anything in to IPWA or apply or, anything. **Just do it** and make sure it is the truth. **Everybody is watching.** If you don't do it, everybody will know. If you do do it, everybody will know. If you do it and lie, everybody will know. IWPA has written all of the companies, on the list below, asking them to do it. There are no membership fees to pay. There is no ongoing "certification service cost". It is totally free. **Just do it** and make sure it is the truth! **Everybody is watching! Don't change the wording in blue, not even one letter, or everyone will know you are using "sneaky-talk".**

We ask each company selling services online, or services related to online use, to sign the following warrant and guarantee. **If you are a consumer, or a consumer support group, cut-and-paste a copy of this article and email it to any email address you have, for people at the companies on the list below to help keep them motivated. You might want to not shop at, or cancel your subscription to, those companies below, until they sign up.**

It is not a "promise". A "promise has no bearing under law. This is a legally binding agreement and statement of trust:

**WARRANT AND GUARANTEE OF \_\_\_\_\_ (COMPANY \_\_\_\_\_)**

*"As CEO, and as the legally bound representative for \_\_\_\_\_ (COMPANY) I, and my company, warrant and guarantee that our company is not providing access to hackers, bulk privacy harvesting groups or any similar third parties who may abuse your privacy data rights."*

-----  
**Reports from Der Spiegel and ABC now reveal that:**

1. If you ever expressed any negative thoughts or words about a Senator, political group or business monopoly it was documented on Amazon's Cloud servers and you get "flagged".
2. Amazon hosts the servers for bulk data privacy harvesting groups where the log of your name being "flagged" for mouthing off ends up.
3. If you order anything from Amazon that is electronic, it gets stopped, on its way to you, bugs and spyware are inserted in the cord, chips or hard-drive and it is sent along to you.

Amazon hosts Netflix and grabs some of Netflix viewers watching habits and profiling data for "marketing purposes", as well. Currently there are no interstitial latent images dropped into Netflix streams but the technology to do so has been tested repeatedly.